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ABSTRACT

Aim: This study was performed to find out the occurrence of the common footdrop which was due to peroneal nerve palsy in the farmers in Punjab, India.

Methods: This study consisted of 100 male subjects of which 50 were farmers (who were aged between 20 to 50 years), who were suffering from a unilateral foot drop and 50 were normal, healthy, age matched controls who were not involved in farming activities. The nerve conduction parameters (the nerve conduction velocities, latencies and amplitudes) of the common peroneal nerve and the tibial and the sural nerves were measured distally at the ankle and proximally at the knee on both the lower limbs of the subjects.

Results: On comparative evaluation, the data showed a significantly reduced conduction velocity and amplitude on the affected side as well as on the contralateral side in the common peroneal nerve of the farmers as compared to those in the controls. The F wave latency was increased in both the limbs of the farmers in comparison to the controls.

Conclusions: The patients presented with a unilateral footdrop which was due to peroneal palsy, but a decreased peroneal nerve conduction was observed on the contralateral side as well. Thus, it suggested the involvement of the common peroneal nerve bilaterally. The position of sitting during harvesting or weeding was also important in inducing footdrop along with the type of the hand activity, because of occurrence of the footdrop on the side of the dominant hand.

 INTRODUCTION

Footdrop can be caused by several pathologies at various levels of the central and peripheral nervous systems, which include lesions of the spinal cord, nerve roots or the peripheral nerves. Most vulnerable to trauma is the common peroneal nerve, as it is closest to the surface and the least protected [1].

After taking its origin from the sacral plexus, the sciatic nerve divides into the common peroneal (fibular) and the tibial nerves, which are proximal to the popliteal fossa [2]. The common peroneal nerve then becomes superficial, as it winds laterally around the head of the fibula and it bifurcates into the superficial and the deep peroneal nerves. The superficial peroneal nerve supplies the everters of the foot. The deep peroneal nerve innervates the dorsiflexors of the foot and it provides sensations to the web space between the first and the second toes [3]. The several peculiarities of the common peroneal nerve make it vulnerable to injuries. The peroneal nerve is fixed at the fibular head, which leads to more mechanical stretching [2], as the nerve has a limited longitudinal mobility [4]. The most common mechanism of the injury is acute compression, traction or laceration at the fibular head. Also being known as the foot drop and strawberry picker’s palsy, this palsy occurs with bed positioning, squatting, casting and tight boots. The nerve may also be entrapped, as it passes through a fibro-osseous tunnel [5]. The postoperative palsies occur especially after operations which are performed with the patients in the lateral decubitus position or in the lithotomy position. The other causes of the compression are chronic squatting and prolonged sitting in the cross-legged position [3].

The most usual cause of a unilateral drop foot is the common peroneal nerve palsy of a spontaneous, traumatic, or a pressure type. Large studies which were done on this condition in military casualties, are available [6]. A recent study which was done on a civilian population described a relatively large number of cases which were due to gunshot wounds [7]. The other published reports dealt with small numbers of patients with palsy which were due to single causes. A therapeutic weight loss, on itself, can cause a foot-drop [8]. With lesions at the fibular head, especially in farmers, the deep branch of the peroneal nerve is affected more commonly than the whole nerve [9]. The reports on more unusual cases are also available.

A patient with the peroneal nerve entrapment presents as a footdrop, with an unstable ankle and a gait difficulty. The sensory symptoms include numbness and decreased sensations along the anterolateral leg and the dorsum of the foot, between the first and the second toes [5]. The superficial peroneal nerve is commonly involved in the lesions of the common peroneal nerve or it may be seen in isolation to involve the paresis and atrophy of the peronei muscles (foot evertors) and the sensory disturbance which affects the skin of the lateral distal portion of the lower leg and the dorsum of the foot. Nerve conduction studies which are done across the entrapment site reveal slowing and small action potential amplitudes. Needle electromyography may reveal denervation of the peroneally innervated muscles [5]. Only a few electrodiagnostic studies had been carried out in the past, to study the cases of footdrop in the farmers [3].
MATERIALS AND METHODS

50 male patients who were aged between 20 to 50 years, who were involved in all types of farming activities, were recruited as the subjects. These patients presented to the Orthopaedics OPD with a clinical history of a unilateral footdrop and a gait difficulty. The patients had been harvesting (43 cases) and weeding (7 cases) 4 to 5 months before suffering from the unilateral footdrop. 50 normal, healthy, age matched subjects who were not involved in farming activities were selected as the controls. The presence of Diabetes mellitus and polyneuropathy was ruled out by taking the clinical history and by doing urine and blood sugar level tests. The subjects were examined clinically to rule out the causes of the foot drop which were other than a peroneal nerve injury at the fibular head.

Electrophysiological studies were carried out to compare the sensory as well as the motor parameters of the deep and the superficial peroneal nerve and the tibial and the sural nerves. These were stimulated distally as well as proximally.

THE ELECTRODIAGNOSTIC STUDIES

These studies were performed by using the Clarity vision NCS/EMG machine [Table/Fig-1], in the Department of Physiology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda Punjab, India.

The subjects were examined while they were lying comfortably in the supine position. The room temperature was kept at 25-28°C. The filters were set at 2 Hz to 5 kHz for the motor studies and at 20 Hz to 2 kHz for the sensory studies. The sweep speed was set at 5ms/division for the motor studies and 2 ms/division for the sensory studies. A stimulus duration of 50 -1000 μs and a current of 0-100 mA were required for an effective nerve stimulation. Supramaximal stimuli were delivered in order to get adequate responses. The surface fixed bar stimulating electrodes and the surface.

1-cm disc recording electrodes were used for the motor studies as well as for the sensory studies. The data was collected for the following parameters:

For the motor nerves: The Distal Motor Latency (DML), the Motor Nerve Conduction Velocity (MNCV) and the amplitude of the Compound Muscle Action Potential (CMAPA).

For the sensory nerves: The Sensory Latency (SL), the Sensory Nerve Conduction Velocity (SNCV) and the amplitude of the Sensory Nerve Action Potential (SNAPA), which were measured from the peak of the negative potential to the peak of the positive potential. For the peroneal motor nerve, the below fibular head-to-ankle conduction velocity, for the tibial motor nerve, the knee-to-ankle conduction velocity and for the sural nerve, the antidiromic calf-to-ankle conduction velocity were recorded.

A standardized technique was used to obtain and to record the action potentials for the motor and the sensory studies.

A. The motor nerve conduction studies [Table/Fig-2a & 2b):

(A) The Deep Peroneal Nerve:
1. The stimulation was done in three regions:
   a) The ankle: The dorsal aspect of the distal lower leg between the tendons of the tibialis anterior (medially) and the extensor hallucis (laterally), at 8cm to the active recording electrode.
   b) Below the fibular head: 3-4cm distal to the proximal tip of the fibular head.
   c) The popliteal fossa: Medial to the biceps femoris tendon, 10 cm below the fibular head.
2. Recording from E1 (over the extensor digitorum brevis: EDB) and E2 (over the fifth toe)
3. The ground electrode (GND) was placed on the lateral malleolus

(B) The Tibial Nerve:
1. The stimulation was done in two regions:
    a) The ankle: 4-5cm below and lateral to the medial malleolus.
   b) The popliteal fossa: Medially along the flexor crease of the knee.
2. Recording from E1 (over the abductor hallucis) and E2 (over the first toe).
3. The ground electrode (GND) was placed on the lateral malleolus

B. The sensory nerve conduction studies:

The sensory nerve conduction was evaluated in the superficial peroneal nerve and in the sural nerves:

(A) The superficial peroneal nerve:
1. The stimulation site was in the lateral calf, 14 cm proximal to E1.
2. The recording electrodes: E1 was placed between the tibialis anterior tendon and the lateral malleolus, and E2 was placed 3-4 cm distally.

(B) The sural nerve:
1. The stimulation site was in the lateral leg, 14 cm proximal to E1.
2. The recording electrodes: E1 was placed between the Achilles tendon and the lateral malleolus and E2 was placed 3-4 cm distally.

ETHICS

An informed consent was taken from all the subjects. The procedures which were followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee which was responsible for human experimentation.

STATISTICAL METHODS

The statistical analysis was carried out by using the Student's paired ‘t’-test. The data was expressed as mean ± SD and the p values which were <0.05 were taken as significant.

RESULTS

[Table/Fig-3] shows the comparison of the nerve conduction parameters of the motor and the sensory peroneal nerves in both the limbs of the farmers with those of the controls. The CMAPA and the MNCV were significantly decreased, while the DML and the F-wave (min) latency were significantly increased in the deep peroneal nerve of the affected limbs of the farmers as compared to those in the controls. The DML was increased and the CMAPA was reduced significantly in the contralateral limbs of the farmers, while there was no significant variation in the MNCV and the F-wave (min) latency as compared to those in the controls.

On studying the parameters of the superficial peroneal nerve, it was observed that the SL was significantly increased, while the SNAPA and the SNCV values were significantly lowered in the af-
cally significant variations in the affected as well as the contralateral limbs of the farmers when they were compared with those of the controls.

**DISCUSSION**

Footdrop has many causes. Various pathologic lesions at different anatomic levels in the nervous system can lead to it. The technique of the motor nerve conduction velocity measurement in man was demonstrated and this was applied to the common peroneal nerve [10,11]. The conduction studies of the common peroneal nerve have been used chiefly for detecting peripheral neuropathy. Electrodiagnosis and electromyography are extremely helpful in establishing the diagnosis and the location of the nerve lesion and in determining the pathophysiology and in explaining the prognosis [13]. Peroneal neuropathy can be diagnosed if the electrodiagnostic findings fulfil one of the following criteria: i) An
CONCLUSION

In our study, we observed that the most common cause of the foot drop in farmers was peroneal neuropathy. The peroneal neuropathy can occur on the asymptomatic side as well. We suggest that further investigations be carried out, in order to find out the mechanisms of the bilateral common peroneal nerve injury and that prophylactic measures be taken to prevent it in the farming population.
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